Review article

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND BIOMECHANICAL ANALYSIS OF BADMINTON PLAYERS: A BRIEF REVIEW

Meor Shamsul Bin Mahfudz Jeffry¹ & Nur Ikhwan Mohamad¹

¹Faculty of Sports Science and Coaching, Sultan Idris Education University, Malaysia

Abstract

Journal of Sports Science and Physical Education 2(1): 9-16, 2013 – Badminton is a court based racquet games to be played either in single (one versus one) or double (two versus two) in a court. Badminton is a famous sport especially in Asia and among the excellent team in badminton includes China, Indonesia, Malaysia and South Korea. Outside of Asia, badminton is well known in England and Denmark. One of the main tasks of strength and conditioning coach or trainer is to design and implement physical conditioning program. Well developed and implemented training programs mainly dependable on how accurate the training program variables being devised and manipulated, in order to serve the need and goals of involved athletes. Appropriate manipulation of training program variables and modifications made from time to time relies a lot on the accuracy and reliability of monitoring assessment used. The main purpose of this review is to identify what has been done previously regarding physiological and biomechanical profiling of badminton players. It is also the aim of this review to discuss on the type of test previously used in previous studies, especially with regards to strength and power assessment, were close enough to movement pattern perform by a badminton players during an actual badminton match.

Keywords: badminton, physiological, biomechanical, test, training program

Introduction

One of the main tasks of strength and conditioning coach or trainer is to design and implement physical conditioning program. Well developed and implemented training programs mainly dependable on how accurate the training program variables being devised and manipulated, in order to serve the need and goals of involved athletes. Appropriate manipulation of training program variables and modifications made from time to time relies a lot on the accuracy and reliability of monitoring assessment used. So far, laboratory test although regarded as more accurate than the typical used and easier to conduct field test, seems quite problematic in term of matching the nature of actual movement pattern on court due to unspecific nature of the laboratory test used to the sport of badminton.

Thus, the main purpose of this review is to identify what has been done previously regarding physiological and biomechanical profiling of badminton players. It is also the aim of this review to discuss on the type of test previously used in previous studies, especially

with regards to strength and power assessment, were close enough to movement pattern perform by a badminton players during an actual badminton match. This is important in the sense that it will establish the foundational basis of what actually important when profiling badminton player's physical performance and, what are the best assessment should be used when assessing badminton players especially in relation to leg power capabilities. While there are many types of profiling assessment available out there, at this moment, the scope of this review will be limited to research that has done profiling on anthropometry type of assessment and specifically on leg power assessment among badminton players at all level.

For the purpose of this review, the selection criteria is based on articles that have been published as an original research articles in a peer-reviewed research journal, with main search was done using Google Scholar. Further search for full-text was done using the Sultan Idris Education University online database (for any articles without full-text access via Google Scholar, but has been identified as needed for this review).

The review will first briefly explain the historical background of badminton, followed by the discussions on the anthropometry and leg power assessment that have been used to profile badminton player and their findings, From there, the review will briefly discuss the advancement of technology in assessing accurate strength and power performance, with suggestion will be made on any gaps or areas for further research at the end.

The Game of Badminton

Badminton is a court based racquet games to be played either in single (one versus one) or double (two versus two) in court area. Previous studies that investigates the characteristics of badminton in term of its energy requirements, temporal structure, and movement pattern found that the sport's is characterised by repetitive efforts of a-lactic nature and great intensity which are continuously performed throughout the match (Cabello Manrique & Gonzalez-Badillo, 2003; Docherty, 1982; Majumdar et al., 1997) This is not surprising as pressure and attack game was the most important strategy for top level international players in producing a winning performance (Hong & Tong, 2000).

In term of speed, power and agility demand, most studies agreed that these three motor fitness component is important element in badminton, with no significant differences has been found exist between single and double badminton games (Alcock & Cable, 2009). In order to move efficiently within the court area, lunge movement is the most common way to move around, evidently shown by video-analysis that frequency of lunge movement is about 15% of all movement perform in court (Cronin, McNair, & Marshall, 2003; Kuntze, Mansfield, & Sellers) . Due to this, lower limb injuries is the most common in badminton players, with rapid changes in eccentric and concentric work of the quadriceps cited as one of the reason most of the injuries occur (Shariff, George, & Ramlan, 2009).

For further readings on design and implementation of specific strength training program for badminton, readers are directed to a review article by (Sturgess & Newton, 2008). The review might provide a better understanding on why specific assessment will also be needed for strength training program monitoring.

Research on Badminton Players: Physiological Profiling

Almost all research that related to badminton players were done by assessing their physiological characteristics and profiles. This subtopic will briefly discuss the studies and their findings.

The first study reviewed had performed physiological profiling assessment on males elite and male sub elite of Malaysian badminton players (Ooi et al., 2009). Data collected consist of body mass, height, arm span, body mass index, body fat, and lean body mass and estimated VO2 max. The reliability of skinfold measurement is guided by International Society of Kinanthropometry assessment manual (ISAK 2001) meanwhile estimated VO²Max was assessed using 20m multistage shuttle run test. Their findings indicated that the estimated VO²Max of sub elite players is much better than the elite team, partly due to the age factor differences.

Another study assessed estimated VO2 max for males elite juniors and female elite junior badminton players (Faude et al., 2007). Based on their findings they concluded that badminton players for both gender must have a better aerobic capacity to sustain the energy expenditure during training and game. At the same time, results of their study showed quite a big gap between estimated VO2 max of both gender, indicating higher level of competitiveness in the male badminton. Similar study and finding's that made gender comparisons includes a study by(Campos, Daros, Mastrascusa, Dourado, & Stanganelli, 2009), with other measurement they included were skinfold assessment and 20m sprint speed. They found that female badminton playrs have higher percentage of fat based on skinfold assessment.

In a more wider combination of age group and gender comparisons, (Heller, 2010) compared male elite senior, male elite junior, female elite senior and female elite junior, differences in VO2 max profile. The study found that, male elite junior and female elite junior have higher VO2 max capabilities compared to the more highly experienced and with better rank. This might indicates that while the juniors were more fitter, but lack of experiences and skills put their playing performance at a ,lower level than the elite group.

Other studies that assessed physiological prodiles of badminton players were , (Majumdar et al., 1997) and (Wonisch, Hofmann, Schwaberger, von Duvillard, & Klein, 2003). However, the study by (Wonisch et al., 2003) only used heart rate measurement as indicator for cardiovascular fitness performance, with no other VO2 max assessment done. Table 1 provide the summary for all above cited studies.

Author (Date)	Athletes Number, Gender, Level, Age	Assessments	Normative Data
		Body mass (kg)	73.2 ± 7.6
(Ooi et al.,	Males Elites (12)	Height	1.76 ± 0.07
2009)	National, 24.6 yrs	Arm span	177.6 ± 7.7
		Body mass index	23.5 ± 2.0
		Body fat	12.5 ± 4.8

Table 1: Research that have quantified physiological profiles of badminton players

		Lean body mass	63.6±5.8
		Estimated VO2	56.9 ± 3.7
		max	
	Male Sub-elites (12)	Body mass (kg)	62.7 ± 4.2
	National, 20.5 yrs	Height	1.71 ± 0.05
		Arm span	1.73 ± 6.5
		Body mass index	21.6 ± 1.4
		Body fat	9.5 ± 3.4
		Lean body mass	56.3 ± 4.1
		Estimated VO2	59.5 ± 5.2
		max	
		Age	21.3 ± 1.7
(Faude et al.,	Men Elite (4)	Height	177 ± 2
2007)	National,21 yr	Weight	70.3 ± 5.5
		VO ² peak	61.8 ± 5.9
		World Ranking	87 ± 29
		Age	21.8 ± 2.1
	Female Elite (8)	Height	166 ± 5
	National, 21 yr	Weight	59.8 ± 6.8
		VO ² peak	50.3 ± 4.1
		World Ranking	122 ± 35
	Male Elite (25)	Age	21.3 ± 2.2
(Heller, 2010)	Senior, 21.3 yr	(n=)	25
		VO ² Max	63.2 ± 3.7
	Male Elite (29)	Age	172 + 12
	Junior. 17.2 vr	(n =)	29
		VO ² Max	646 + 43
	Female Elite (25)		01.0 ± 1.5 24.5 ± 2.5
	Senior 24.5 vr	(n =)	24.5 ± 2.5
	bennon, 21.5 yr	$VO^2 Max$	$10 \\ 55 2 + 2 6$
	Equals Elits (25)		33.2 ± 2.0
	Junior 17.6 vr	Age	17.0 ± 0.8
	Junior, 17:0 yr	$(\Pi -)$ VO2 Max	10
		v O- Max	54.9 ± 2.5
(Campa (1	\mathbf{M}_{2} = \mathbf{E}^{1} (10)	Age	17.24 ± 1.18
(Campos et al.,	Males Entes (10)	Body mass (kg)	68.0 ± 7.8
2009)	Junior, 17.24 yrs	Height	172.4 ± 0.5
		SKIII IOIO	83.21 ± 22.02
		Estimated VO2	49.68 ± 2.48
			3.12 ± 0.08
		20 m speed	

		Age	15.21 ± 2.06
	Female elites (12)	Body mass (kg)	61.74 ± 6.85
	Junior, 15.21 yrs	Height	163.84 ± 0.3
		Skin fold	131.58 ± 29.36
		Estimated VO2	42.92 ± 2.94
		max	3.5 ± 0.14
		20 m speed	5.0 = 0.1 1
		Age	24.3 ± 4.1
(Majumdar et	Males Elites (6)	Height	175.4 ± 5.4
al., 1997)	National,24.3 yrs	Weight	64.8 ± 6.9
		Body Fat	12.1 ± 3.4
		VO ² Max	55.7 ± 4.4
		Maximum Heart	183 + 6
		Rate	100 = 0
		Age	26 ± 8
(Wonisch et al.,	Males Elites (17)	Body weight	74 ±10
2003)	National, 26 yrs	Height	179 ±7
		Maximum Heart	195 ± 6
		rate	

Lower Limb Kinematics and Kinetics Profiling

Out of all physiological profiling research reviewed in this chapter, only six studies have been found to also assess lower-limb strength capabilities (kinematics and kinetics). Almost all studies that have quantify leg strength capabilities used either counter movement jump or squat jump assessment (Campos et al., 2009; Girard & Millet, 2009; Ooi et al., 2009), with only no published study have assessed horizontal strength capabilities. Summary of all findings can be found in Table 2.

Author (Date)	Athletes Number, Gender, Level,	Assessments	Normative
	Age		Data
		1 RM Squat	143.2 ± 17.3
(Ooi et al.,	Males Elites (12)	SJ Height	$42.7 \hspace{0.1in} \pm \hspace{0.1in} 5.2 \hspace{0.1in}$
2009)	National, 24.6 yrs	SJ Power	3851 ± 431
		CMJ Height	46.3 ± 5.4
		CMJ Power	3977 ± 385
		DJ Height	34.4 ± 5.5
		DJ Contact time	0.23 ± 0.01
		1 RM Squat	129.9 ± 14.1
		SJ Height	$41.5\ \pm 5.2$
	Male Sub-elites (12)	SJ Power	3306 ± 377
	National, 20.5 yr	CMJ Height	46.0 ± 3.7
		CMJ Power	3448 ± 304
		DJ Height	32.6 ± 4.4
		DJ Contact time	0.23 ±0.00
		СМЈ	36.7 ± 6.0
(Campos et	Males Elites (10)	Vertical Squat	39.3 ± 5.7
al., 2009)	Junior, 17.24 yrs	Jump	46.0 ± 6.5
		CMJ Upper Limb	
		contribution	
		СМЈ	27.2 ± 2.1
	Female elites (12)	Vertical Squat	28.1 ± 2.4
	Junior, 15.21 yrs	Jump	33.4 ± 1.9
		CMJ Upper Limb	
		contribution	
		СМЈ	32.97 ± 13.10
(Girard &	Competitive Male Teenage Tennis	DJ	22.10 ± 4.33
Millet, 2009)	Player(12), 13.6 yr	SJ	34.09 ± 7.99
		10 m sprint	1.9 ± 0.1
Alcock.A et	Competitive male singles (8),22yr	505 agility test	2.5 ± 0.1
al. (2009)		Vertical jump	48.1 ± 4.6
		10 m sprint	1.9 ± 0.1
	Competitive male doubles (8),30 yr	505 agility test	2.5 ± 0.1
		Vertical jump	47.3 ± 5.2

Table 2: Research that have assessed leg strength capabilities among badminton players

Conclusion

Thus, it can be concluded that, there are a lack or near non-existence of any assessment that measure leg strength capabilities with movement pattern mimic actual performance of badminton players in play. Moreover, measuring a kinematics and kinetics variables using a laboratory test will be much more accurate in assessing strength capabilities of elite badminton players. What can be said is that, the lack or no assessment done might be due to the non-existence of any laboratory test specifically design for badminton players. It is suggested that laboratory test to be introduced and conducted on the movement specific in badminton to improve the body of knowledge on this sport.

References

- Alcock, A., & Cable, N. T. (2009). A comparison of singles and doubles badminton: heart rate response, player profiles and game characteristics. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, 9(2), 228-237.
- Cabello Manrique, D., & Gonzalez-Badillo, J. J. (2003). Analysis of the characteristics of competitive badminton. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37(1), 62-66. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.37.1.62
- Campos, F. A. D., Daros, L. B., Mastrascusa, V., Dourado, A. C., & Stanganelli, L. C. R. (2009). Anthropometric profile and motor performance of junior badminton players. *Brazilian Journal of Biomotricity* 3(2), 146-151.
- Cronin, J., McNair, P. J., & Marshall, R. N. (2003). Lunge performance and its determinants. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 21, 49-57.
- Docherty, D. (1982). A comparison of heart rate responses in racquet games. *British Journal* of Sports Medicine, 16(2), 96-100.
- Faude, O., Meyer, T., Rosenberger, F., Fries, M., Huber, G., & Kindermann, W. (2007). Physiological characteristics of badminton match play. *European journal of applied physiology*, 100(4), 479-485.
- Girard, O., & Millet, G. P. (2009). Physical determinants of tennis performance in competitive teenage players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 23(6), 1867–1872.
- Heller, J. (2010). Physiological profiles of elite badminton players: aspects of age and gender. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 44(Suppl 1), i17. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2010.078725.51
- Hong, Y., & Tong, Y. M. (2000). The playing pattern of the world's top single badminton players in competition - a notation analysis. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, 38, 185-200.
- Kuntze, G., Mansfield, N., & Sellers, W. A biomechanical analysis of common lunge tasks in badminton. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 28(2), 183-191. doi: 10.1080/02640410903428533
- Majumdar, P., Khanna, G. L., Malik, V., Sachdeva, S., Arif, M., & Mandal, M. (1997). Physiological analysis to quantify training load in badminton. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 31(4), 342-345. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.31.4.342
- Ooi, C. H., Tan, A., Ahmad, A., Kwong, K. W., Sompong, R., Mohd Ghazali, K. A., . . . Thompson, M. W. (2009). Physiological characteristics of elite and sub-elite badminton players. *Journal of sports sciences*, 27(14), 1591-1599.
- Shariff, A. H., George, A., & Ramlan, A. A. (2009). Musculoskeletal injuries among Malaysian badminton players. *Singapore Medical Journal*, *50*(11), 1095-1097.

Sturgess, S., & Newton, R. U. (2008). Design and implementation of a specific strength program for badminton. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, 30(3), 33-41.

Wonisch, M., Hofmann, P., Schwaberger, G., von Duvillard, S. P., & Klein, W. (2003). Validation of a field test for the non-invasive determination of badminton specific aerobic performance. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37(2), 115-118. doi: 10.1136/bjsm.37.2.115

I Meor Shamsul Bin Mahfudz Jeffry

Faculty of Sports Science and Coaching, Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia Phone No: +0175537686 Email: shamjeffry@gmail.com