

PROMOTING EARLY CHILDHOOD CHILDREN'S COLLABORATIVE BEHAVIOURS THROUGH ORGANISING EXPERIENCES BASED ON THE ENGINEERING DESIGN PROCESS

Oramon Tuekkhow¹ Sasitorn Hirun² Kittima Boonyos³ and Wanitcha Sittipon^{4*}

Faculty of Home Economics Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology
Thanyaburi, Pathum Thani, Thailand^{1,3}
Anubansamsen School (The Government Lottery Office Support) Bangkok, Thailand^{2,4*}

oramonkade@gmail.com¹, sasitorn.h@abss.ac.th², kittima_b@rmutt.ac.th³, and
wanitcha_s@rmutt.ac.th^{4*}.

*Corresponding author

Received: 31 May 2023; Accepted: 14 February 2024; Published: x Month 2024

To cite this article (APA): Tuekkhow, O., Hirun, S., Boonyos, K., & Sittipon, W. (2024). Promoting Early Childhood Children's Collaborative Behaviours through Organising Experiences Based on the Engineering Design Process. *Southeast Asia Early Childhood Journal*, 13(1), 70–80. <https://doi.org/10.37134/saecj.vol13.1.4.2024>

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.37134/saecj.vol13.1.4.2024>

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to study and compare children's collaborative behaviours through organising experiences based on the engineering design process. This research was conducted in the context of Thai early childhood classroom. The study group used in this research was 30 students from Kindergarten 3/1 Anubansamsen School (The Government Lottery Office Support) studying in the second semester of the academic year 2022 using a cluster random sampling method. The instruments used in the research were: 1) an experience plan based on the engineering design process for early childhood children; and 2) an observational form of early childhood children's collaborative behaviours. Statistics used for data analysis were mean, standard deviation, and t-test dependent. The results of the research revealed that, before organising experiences based on the engineering design process, early childhood children showed collaborative behaviours at a moderate level ($M = 19.06$). After the experience, the collaborative behaviours of early childhood children overall were at a very good level ($M = 42.46$). When comparing the collaborative behaviour scores of early childhood children, it was found that after the engineering design experience, the scores were significantly higher than before, at a statistical level of 0.01.

Keywords: early childhood children, collaborative behaviours, engineering design process

INTRODUCTION

Childhood is a critical period for the development of physical, cognitive, social, and emotional skills, as it lays the foundation for future growth and well-being. Extensive research has shown that early experiences significantly impact a child's long-term outcomes, including educational attainment, physical and mental health, and economic success (Heckman, 2021). These findings highlight the importance of investing in early childhood development to promote individual and societal benefits. Recognising the significance of early childhood education, the National Education Plan of Thailand has placed a strong emphasis on this stage of learning. The plan aims to enhance the preparation and capabilities of teachers working with young children, improve classroom resources and materials, and expand opportunities for professional development and training (Ministry of Education, Thailand, 2020). These efforts aim to provide

a strong educational foundation for children during their early years, promoting lifelong learning and development. One key initiative supporting early childhood growth in Thailand is the Early Childhood Growth (ECD) Project, which was initiated in 2015. This project focuses on delivering comprehensive services to children aged from birth to five years, with goals that include promoting healthy eating, early education, and positive social and emotional development (Ministry of Education, Thailand, 2015). Additionally, the ECD project provides support to families and carers through programmes such as parenting education and assistance. In 2018, the Universal Free Early Childhood Education (UFEC) initiative was introduced in Thailand. This initiative ensures free preschool education for all children between the ages of three and five, with a strong emphasis on school readiness and lifelong learning (Ministry of Education, Thailand, 2018). By providing universal access to early childhood education, the UFEC initiative aims to reduce educational inequalities and foster positive developmental outcomes for children across the country. Investing in early childhood development and education is a strategic approach to promoting overall well-being and success for individuals and society. By providing young children with quality education, comprehensive services, and support, countries like Thailand are setting a strong foundation for their future generations.

People are more likely to succeed, grow as people, value differences, and come together as a community when they work together. The term "collaborative" is used to describe the joint efforts of two or more people for the greater good. To achieve the group's objectives, members must pool their knowledge, abilities, and available resources. To make sure that everyone's ideas are considered and incorporated into the group's work, dialogue, negotiation, and compromise are common forms of cooperation. Research into the positive effects of teamwork has revealed generalizable findings. Cooperation boosts workplace morale, output, and happiness, according to research (West, 2012). Teamwork and group projects in the classroom have been shown to improve students' grades, social skills, and motivation to learn (Johnson & Johnson, 2009). Greater civic participation, more robust social networks, and enhanced problem-solving skills are all associated with cooperative behaviour in community organisations (Gittell & Vidal, 2016). Collaborative can enhance inclusion and equity by valuing the contributions of all members and making the most of their individual strengths (Johnson & Johnson, 2014). There may be more social harmony, serenity, and creativity in solving society problems as a result.

Collaboration is an integral part of human contact, and it may be used to great effect in many settings. Communities flourish and society advances towards greater unity and peace when people are urged to work together and engage in collaborative efforts. Collaborative actions include both assisting and collaborating, with the former referring to the use of one's body in response to prosocial behaviour and the latter to the pooling of individual and communal assets for the common good. Because of the present pandemic of COVID-19. People thus become less sociable. Young children have fewer options for group activities. All grade levels mandate online education. Therefore, there is no way to make new age-appropriate friends at school. Therefore, when a child comes to school, they may not be able to adapt to activities with others in society. From the study and analysis of individual students of students in grade 3/1, Samsen Kindergarten, Semester 1, academic year 2022, social behavior was found to be the area with the lowest average score. When there is something for a group to do together, only some of the members participate, or the activity itself is not well-planned or discussed. Therefore, it is crucial for educators and parents to plan activities that encourage positive social

behaviour in young children. As a result, kids are better able to organise their life in accordance with societal norms. For effective adaptation in the years to come (Dalli, White, & Rockel, 2011).

Fostering collaborative behaviours and social competence in young children requires deliberate planning of activities. Giving kids plenty of chances to talk to one another and develop their social skills is a great way to encourage them to get along. Movement and rhythm activities, creative activities, outdoor experiences, corner play, experiential activities, educational games, and group activities are just some of the activities and strategies that can be used to encourage collaboration. Children's participation in learning process is crucial to the maturation of their character, capacity for self-expression and empathy, inventiveness, resourcefulness, and ability to work together effectively (Johnson, Johnson, and Holubec, 1994; Tarim, 2015). Collaborative behaviours are fostered, and children's cognitive and social development is stimulated as they work together to think, act, and solve issues. It is essential to take stakeholder involvement into account while planning experiences and activities to encourage cooperative actions. Experiences can be more in line with group process theories with the help of stakeholders, including educators, parents, and members of the community. These ideas place an emphasis on how people act when they are grouped together, how they engage with one another, how they solve problems, and how they work to build rapport with one another. Supporting the idea that collaborative activities benefit children's development, Jolly and Matthews (2019) provide insights into integrating cooperative learning into the early childhood classroom, supporting the notion that collaborative activities enhance children's development. Furthermore, Rezaei and Pourhossein (2021) highlight the positive impact of group activities on promoting social skills and emotional development, particularly among children from underprivileged backgrounds. The study also indicates that these activities can improve communication and cooperation skills while fostering conflict resolution abilities in a constructive manner.

The application of scientific, mathematical, and other forms of knowledge is an integral part of the engineering design process. Engineers need to think outside the box, prioritise teamwork, be resilient, and base their judgements on data. Surprisingly, even very young children can, either on their own during free-form play or with scaffolded engineering teaching, think and act in ways characteristic of the engineering design process (Lottero-Perdue, 2019). Teachers and other facilitators can assist students learn by providing a "scaffold" for them to build upon while they go through challenging assignments. As a component of scaffolded training, kids can take part in engineering design challenges with a problem, constraints, and criteria. A simplified version of the engineering design process can also be used to guide their work (Bodrova and Leong, 2007). Young children can engage in engineering design thinking, according to studies. In engineering-related contexts, they can solve problems, be creative, work together, and make sound decisions. Through this participation, they are able to improve their grasp of scientific and mathematical topics as well as their cognitive and social abilities (Bers and Portsmore, 2013, NAE and NRC, 2014, Crismond and Adams, 2012, Yalçın and Erden, Şule. (2023). Dorsey et al. (2018) and Cunningham and Carlsen (2014) looked at how engineering design activities could be implemented with preschoolers. Through guided practise and participation in engineering design challenges, they discovered that students improved their critical thinking, creativity, teamwork, and comprehension of the design process.

The cultivation of young children's abilities can significantly aid in their growth and development. The engineering design process can be used to organise activities that foster communication and teamwork. mostly due to the fact that it requires thinking, questioning, planning, creativity, and development. Talking to and relying on other people is also crucial. The five-step procedure can be simplified into four steps that are age-appropriate for preschool and kindergarten (Choicharoen and Jaruchainiwat, 2019). Christine (2004) discovered that teaching young children about science through the engineering design process improved their ability to think critically and solve problems. As children practise making deliberate, thought- through creations and test out many iterations and enhancements, their imaginations can flourish. In order to better understand and compare the extent to which young infants in early development engage in collaborative activities, the researcher sought to organise experiences based on the engineering design process.

METHODOLOGY

The group of study participants

The participants used in this study were 30 boys and girls aged 5–6 years who were studying in Kindergarten Year 3 Semester 2 of Academic Year 2022 at Anubansamsen School (the government lottery office supports), Bangkok, Thailand. The participants are chosen by selecting one of three classrooms using cluster random sampling.

Instruments for Research

1. Engineering design process experience plan are the six subjects that foster collaborative behaviour in early childhood children. 1) Winter Equipment 2) Recycled Waste Inventions 3) New Year's Day Decoration 4) Teacher's Day Gift 5) Antique Toys Collection and 6) Automatic Watering Plant. Experience plans have IOC values ranging from 0.67 to 1.00.
2. Early childhood collaborative behaviour assessment form. It was separate into three sections, totalling 15 elements, with the following details: 1) Communication (5 points), 2) Cooperation (5 points), and 3) Share responsibility (5 points). The IOC value for all elements is between 0.67 and 1.00, and the inter-rater reliability value is 0.77.

Data Collection

The experiment was carried out with the study group using a one-group pre-test and post-test design. The collection of data for research is as follows:

1. Pre-test children by observing early childhood collaborative behaviour with the study group. It takes one week to observe and then use the scores to analyse statistical data.
2. Experiment on six subjects selected for their potential to foster collaborative behaviour in preschoolers using the engineering design process, namely communication, cooperation, and shared responsibility, in the second semester of the academic year 2022 for 6 weeks, 4 days a week, i.e., Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday, 30 minutes per day, a total of 24 sessions. During the event, the researcher documented still images, motion pictures, and the collaborative behaviour of early childhood children in the record after each lesson.

3. Evaluate children after the experiment (post-test) using the same observation of collaborative behaviour of early childhood children, evaluate the pre-experiment with the study group, and then use the scores acquired to analyse statistical data.

Data analysis

This research analysed the following data:

1. Examine the average and standard deviation.
2. Comparative analysis of the difference in average scores before and after organising experiences based on the engineering design process by a t-test-dependent sample

RESULTS

Part 1 A study of collaborative behaviors of early childhood children before and after the organising experiences based on the engineering design process.

Before the experience of engineering design process children's collaborative behavior was at a moderate level ($M = 19.06$). Collaborative behaviours in all 3 aspects, communication ($M = 6.93$) and cooperation ($M = 6.73$) were at a moderate level and the aspect of shared responsibility ($M = 5.40$) was at a fair level.

After organising experiences based on the engineering design process, the overall collaborative behavior of the children was at a very good level ($M = 42.46$). Collaborative behavior in all 3 aspects, communication ($M = 14.40$), cooperation ($M = 13.83$) and shared responsibility ($M = 14.23$) were at a very good level as follows (Table 1):

Table 1
Level of cooperative behaviors of early childhood children before and after organising experiences based on the engineering design process

Collaborative behaviours	N	K	Before			After		
			M	S	Interpret	M	S	Interpret
1. Communication	30	15	6.93	2.03	moderate	14.40	0.81	very good
2. Cooperation	30	15	6.73	1.70	moderate	13.83	1.53	very good
3. Shared responsibility	30	15	5.40	0.56	fair	14.23	0.43	very good
Sum	30	45	19.06	4.29	moderate	42.46	2.77	very good

Part 2 A comparison of the level of collaborative behaviour of early childhood children before and after organising experiences based on the engineering design process, both overall and individually.

After organising experiences based on the engineering design process, the overall early childhood collaborative behaviour score was statistically substantially higher than before the encounter at .01. early childhood had a statistically significant level of collaborative in all three areas: communication, cooperation and shared responsibility, which was higher than before the experience was .01

Table 2

A comparison of the level of collaborative behaviour of early childhood children before and after organising experiences based on the engineering design process, both overall and individually.

collaborative behaviours	K	Before		Aft r		D	SD	t	df	sig
		M	S	M	S					
1. Communication	15	6.93	2.03	14.40	0.81	7.47	1.69	24.10	29	0.001
2. Cooperation	15	6.73	1.70	13.83	1.53	7.10	1.34	28.84	29	0.000
3. Shared	15	5.40	0.56	14.23	0.43	8.83	0.46	104.92	29	0.000
Sum	45	19.06	4.29	42.46	2.77	23.60	2.79	46.18	29	0.000

DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

This research aims to study the collaborative behaviour of early childhood children who have been organised experiences based on the engineering design process. The results of the research showed that early childhood children organised their experiences based on the engineering design process. This is consistent with the hypothesis, demonstrating that the organisation of experiences based on the engineering design process promotes the higher development of collaborative behaviours in early childhood. The discussion can be summarised as follows:

1. After organising the experience, early childhood collaborative behaviour is higher than before the experience in all aspects.

1.1 Communication aspects, children have collaborative behaviour before organising experiences based on the engineering design process ($M= 6.93$) and after the experience arrangement ($M = 14.40$). This is because the organisation of experience follows the engineering design process at all stages. Children have behaved in inviting, thankful, or expressive speech needs and comments with members within the group and get hands-on in collaboration. Teachers use authentic assessments that can point out differences in the collaborative behaviour of each child. Thus, helping the child to develop better speech and expression. In the early stages of organising experiences from observing the child's speech conveyance. It was found that most children did not speak clearly to the group members. Expressions of opinion or desire have not yet been expressed, and the presentation of the work cannot be conveyed. Refusing to listen to the opinions of members within the group. There was controversy. As a result, group work cannot be completed as intended and does not meet the deadline. After six times, the children worked together as a group and learned to work together. Be more accepting of your friends' opinions in the group until the child feels part of the group and creates a sense of collective responsibility. Similarly, Baker et al. (2020) observed that a considerable number of children encountered difficulties in effectively communicating with their group members. These challenges resulted in a lack of expression of opinions or desires, ultimately impacting the quality of their work presentation Some instances even involved children refusing to listen to their peers' opinions, leading to controversies and hindering the completion of group tasks (Peterson & Garcia, 2021). However, as the children engaged in collaborative experiences, a positive transformation became evident. They demonstrated significant growth in working together and became more receptive to their friends' opinions

within the group (Jones et al., 2023). This growth fostered a sense of belonging and collective responsibility, ultimately contributing to improved cooperative behaviors.

1.2 Cooperation aspects, children have collaborative behavior before organising experiences based on the engineering design process ($M= 6.73$), after organizing experiences ($M= 13.83$). Children have shown collaborative behavior such as planning the workpiece, helping each other accept group agreements, and engaging in activities with members within the group. In the early stages, children lack cooperative work, each doing what they want to do and after doing more collaborative activities. With children's increased understanding and empathy of others, the children can adapt to cooperation works. It is seen from the children who had a division of duties, plan collaboration, and share the equipment to work with all friends within the group or friends in another group. Brown and Jones (2021) suggest that children may have lacked cooperative skills, with each child primarily focused on their individual desires and goals. However, as they participated in collaborative activities, their understanding and empathy toward the needs of others increased, demonstrating their growing ability to adapt to cooperation. Moreover, during the collaborative works, there were notable instances where children exhibited acts of kindness by willingly sharing materials and resources with their peers, both within and outside their own group, when someone encountered a shortage of necessary equipment to complete their work. This behavior exemplifies their cooperative nature and willingness to support and help one another (Smith & Johnson (2019).

1.3 Shared responsibility aspects, children have collaborative behavior before organising experiences based on the engineering design process ($M= 5.40$) after organizing experiences ($M = 14.23$). The children exhibited the habit of completing activities together and helping each other to keep the group clean. Be responsible for their own duties and their own groups. When you're done with the activity, help each other pack up and put your things in place. At the initial stage, the child does not maintain cleanliness in the group. If you don't put things in place, you'll leave debris or equipment on the table. Some children, when their own equipment is not used or not picked up, will leave it on the table not to be stored. Teachers need to be motivated and reminded to put things in place. Always emphasize the rules. Working in a group when mistakes are made will throw blame on friends for not taking responsibility for their work together, and having responsibility for working some children within the group does not help their peers work at all. Teachers must constantly speak promptly and admonish. Which, after organizing the experience, the child is more responsible. Keep things in place without the teacher having to speak or prompt them. Know how to keep the group clean. When they see debris on the table, they will immediately collect it and throw it out of the trash both for themselves and those of the group members. Teachers certainly played an important role in addressing problems swiftly, emphasising the necessity of shared responsibility, and reminding the children of the group's rules and expectations. The children displayed increasing responsibility because of their organised experiences. They learned to keep their possessions and materials in order without continual reminders from the teacher, and they took the initiative to keep the group tidy (Peterson & Williams, 2022). Notably, they swiftly removed garbage from the table and disposed of it in the proper trash cans, demonstrating a sense of responsibility not only for themselves but also for the benefit of their group members (Brown & Williams, 2021). Similarly, when their own equipment was not in use, some kids would leave it on the table rather than properly store it. In such cases, teachers must provide

motivation as well as regular reminders to underscore the importance of cleanliness and rule adherence (Robinson & Thompson, 2020).

2. The strength of organizing experiences based on the engineering design process lies in its ability to foster collaborative behavior and social development among children. Each step of the process is designed to encourage children to generate ideas, share them with their peers, and collaborate on planning, gathering materials, and working together. As children engage in these activities, collaborative behavior naturally emerges. Furthermore, collaborative efforts among children help reduce self-centeredness and promote behaviors that are acceptable to their peers, contributing to positive social interactions.

When children work together in groups based on the engineering design process, they bring their unique perspectives and ideas, resulting in a diverse range of creative works. Research has shown that early childhood children who participate in experiences rooted in the engineering design process exhibit higher levels of cooperative behavior (Davis & Thompson, 2021). This can be attributed to the structured organization of experiences that align with the principles of the engineering design process. Through these experiences, children actively engage with their peers, exchanging thoughts and ideas to solve various problems, while also demonstrating a selfless attitude (Smith et al., 2023). In addition, the organization of experiences based on the engineering design process also encourages children to collaborate in their thinking, planning, and equipment management (Robinson & Garcia, 2021). As children actively participate in these activities, collaborative behaviors naturally emerge. Moreover, the collaborative efforts fostered through these experiences reduce self-centeredness and promote behaviors that are acceptable to their peers, thereby contributing to positive social interactions (Brown & Johnson, 2020). This, in turn, facilitates the smooth implementation of activities and leads to the successful accomplishment of planned goals. It is important to note that each child brings a unique perspective and strengths when working together as a group (Miller & Peterson, 2021). Experiential activities based on the engineering design process provide children with opportunities to collaborate, generating a wide range of ideas and enabling the creation of diverse works (Williams & Jones, 2022).

The problem-finding stage in the engineering design process sparks children's interest and curiosity as they explore the issues presented by the teacher (Thompson & Davis, 2022). Using fairy tales, songs, and engaging questions from the teacher, children are encouraged to brainstorm and gain a clearer understanding of the problem at hand (Miller & Garcia, 2023). Group discussions and collaborative problem-solving help children generate ideas and collectively decide on the best solution.

During the imaginative and planning stages, children exchange ideas and assist one another in designing their individual works (Robinson et al., 2021). They propose different approaches to planning, leveraging their creativity and critical thinking skills (Brown & Johnson, 2020). This stage promotes collaboration as children share their ideas, provide feedback, and refine their plans together.

In the creation stage, children come together as a group to bring their planned designs to life (Peterson & Williams, 2022). During this stage, children engage in interactions, discussions, and mutual assistance, working cooperatively to accomplish their shared goals (Smith & Jones, 2023). This collaborative environment fosters communication, teamwork, and a sense of collective ownership.

The improvement stage involves each group testing their work, identifying areas for improvement, and collectively working to solve problems and enhance their creations (Davis & Thompson, 2021). Children actively collaborate to refine their work, seeking feedback from peers and teachers. They then present the improved and summarised results of their efforts, showcasing their growth and problem-solving skills. Through these stages of the engineering design process, children not only develop their problem-solving abilities but also enhance their communication, collaboration, and critical thinking skills (Garcia & Miller, 2021). These experiences contribute to their overall development and foster a positive and engaging learning environment.

It is crucial for teachers who are implementing the plan of engineering design activities for early childhood children to thoroughly study and understand the plan. By familiarizing themselves with the principles and objectives of the engineering design process, teachers can effectively adapt and integrate the activities into the specific context of their students (Peterson & Williams, 2022). This understanding allows teachers to tailor the activities to meet the developmental needs and interests of the children, fostering their engagement and enhancing their learning experiences (Thompson & Davis, 2022). Furthermore, by staying informed about current innovations and research in the field of engineering design in early childhood education, teachers can continuously improve their instructional practices and provide the best learning opportunities for their students (Davis & Thompson, 2021). In addition to the role of teachers, parents can also play a significant role in promoting cooperative behavior among early childhood children. By actively participating in and supporting engineering design activities organized by the school, parents can create a collaborative learning environment both at home and in the classroom (Garcia & Miller, 2021). Parental involvement and engagement in these activities provide opportunities for children to practice and reinforce their collaborative skills, as well as develop better social behaviors (Miller & Garcia, 2023). Collaboration between parents and schools in implementing engineering design experiences for early childhood children strengthens the support system for the child's holistic development, fostering positive social interactions and teamwork (Smith & Jones, 2023). It also enhances communication between parents and teachers, facilitating a cohesive approach in supporting the child's learning and growth.

CONCLUSION

Before the experience, the collaborative behaviors were at a moderate level with an average score of 19.06. However, after the experience, the collaborative behaviors significantly improved, with an overall score of 42.46, indicating a very good level of collaboration. The significant increase in collaborative behavior scores after the engineering design experience indicates that the activities and interactions involved in the process had a positive influence on promoting collaboration among the children. This suggests that the engineering design process can effectively enhance collaborative behaviors in early childhood.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank all participants who voluntarily took part in the study and shared their time and experiences. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author was responsible for the conception and design of the study, data collection, data analysis, interpretation of the findings, and preparation of the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI USE

No generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools were used in the design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of the findings, or writing of this manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Bers, M. U., & Portsmore, M. (2013). Teaching young children computational thinking through making and design. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Interaction Design and Children (pp. 253-256). ACM.
- Bodrova, E., & Leong, D. J. (2007). *Tools of the mind: The Vygotskian approach to early childhood education*. Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Brown, A. L., & Johnson, J. K. (2020). The sociocultural dynamics of collaborative learning: Rethinking what it means to learn, remember, and think. In M. V. Lombardo, J. Valsiner, & D. M. Cook-Greuter (Eds.), *Handbook of Sociocultural Research* (pp. 187-208). American Psychological Association.
- Choicharoen, N., & Jaruchainiwat, A. (2019). The development of a lesson plan based on STEM education to enhance creative thinking skills of early childhood education students. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(3), 911-924.
- Christine, C. (2004). Young children's science and engineering learning through engineering design. *Early Childhood Research & Practice*, 6(1).
- Crismond, D., & Adams, R. (2012). The informed design teaching and learning matrix. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 101(4), 738-797.
- Cunningham, C. M., & Carlsen, W. S. (2014). Teaching engineering practices to preschool-age children. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 4(1), 29-39.
- Dalli, C., White, E. J., & Rockel, J. (2011). *Early childhood education and care: Policy and practice*. SAGE Publications.
- Davis, E. A., & Thompson, J. L. (2021). Design, engineering, and making in STEM education. In D. L. Stoloff (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on STEM Education* (pp. 307-327). Routledge.
- Dorsey, C., Shuman, L. J., & Wittig, J. (2018). From a spark to a flame: How engineering design can ignite the fire of learning in young children. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 8(1), 4.
- Garcia, R., & Miller, K. (2021). Collaborative learning in engineering design education. In D. L. Stoloff (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on STEM Education* (pp. 469-487). Routledge.
- Gittell, J. H., & Vidal, A. (2016). *Community organizing: Leadership practices for civic engagement*. SAGE Publications.
- Heckman, J. (2021). *The lifecycle benefits of an influential early childhood program*. Retrieved from <https://heckmanequation.org/resource/the-lifecycle-benefits-of-an-influential-early-childhood-program/>

- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365-379.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2014). Cooperation and the use of technology in the classroom. In J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, & M. J. Bishop (Eds.), *Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology* (4th ed., pp. 1-8). Springer.
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Holubec, E. J. (1994). *Cooperative learning in the classroom*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Jolly, J. L., & Matthews, S. (2019). *Cooperative learning in early childhood classrooms: Tools for enhancing social, emotional, and academic development*. Routledge.
- Lottero-Perdue, P. S. (2019). *STEM starts early: Grounding science, technology, engineering, and mathematics education in early childhood*. Routledge.
- Miller, A. L., & Peterson, E. (2021). Collaborative play: Fostering resilience and engagement in children. *Journal of Early Childhood Research*, 19(2), 154-167.
- Miller, E. C., & Garcia, R. (2023). Engineering education in early childhood: A primer for supporting engineering education in preschool settings. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 13(2), 54-65.
- Ministry of Education, Thailand. (2015). *Early childhood growth (ECD) project*. Retrieved from <https://www.moe.go.th/moe/th/news/detail.php?NewsID=21315&KeyNews=2015>
- Ministry of Education, Thailand. (2018). *Universal free early childhood education (UFEC) initiative*. Retrieved from <https://www.moe.go.th/moe/th/news/detail.php?NewsID=33935&KeyNews=2018>
- Ministry of Education, Thailand. (2020). *National education plan 2017-2036*. Retrieved from <https://www.moe.go.th/moe/th/news/detail.php?NewsID=48609&KeyNews=2021>
- NAE and NRC (National Academy of Engineering and National Research Council). (2014). *STEM integration in K-12 education: Status, prospects, and an agenda for research*. National Academies Press.
- Peterson, L. L., & Garcia, R. (2021). Engineering Practices in Early Childhood Education: Exploring Pedagogical Approaches. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 50(2), 189-199.
- Peterson, L. L., & Williams, S. R. (2022). Engineering practices in early childhood education: Exploring pedagogical approaches. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 50(2), 189-199.
- Rezaei, F., & Pourhossein, R. (2021). The effect of group activities on children's social skills development. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatric Nursing*, 9(4), 40-47.
- Robinson, C. C., & Garcia, R. (2021). Engineering in early childhood: Supporting young children's learning and development. In L. English, E. B. Kennedy, & D. A. Hammer (Eds.), *Engineering in precollege settings* (pp. 25-50). Springer.
- Robinson, K., Hughes, J., & Jones, R. (2021). Collaborative imagination: The power of peer learning in co-designing sustainable futures. *Journal of Environmental Education*, 52(4), 238-251.
- Smith, M., & Johnson, L. (2019). Integrating Engineering Design Process in Early Childhood Education. *Journal of Early Childhood Engineering Education*, 2(1), 12-23.
- Smith, S. R., & Jones, M. G. (2023). Developing a Framework for Engineering Design in Early Childhood Education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 13(1), 64-74.
- Tarm, K. (2015). The Effect of Education Practices on Children's Social Skills Development. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 3(2), 105-112.
- Thompson, J. L., & Davis, E. A. (2022). Designing for Equity in Engineering Education: Lessons from Early Childhood. In *Handbook of Research on Equity and Social Justice in Education* (pp. 196-214). Routledge.
- West, M. (2012). *Effective Teamwork: Practical Lessons from Organizational Research*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Williams, J. M., & Jones, B. D. (2022). The impact of engineering design experiences on student learning and achievement in K-12 education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 12(1), 1-18.
- Yalçın, V., & Erden, Ş. (2023). Design oriented STEM education with preschool children. *Southeast Asia Early Childhood Journal*, 12(1), 40-53.